The Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence Council

NIC NO. 00840-84 6 February 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
FROM : Assistant National Intelligence Officer for Western Europe	25X1
SUBJECT: Meeting on European Developments with NSC Staff Members Peter Sommer, Tyrus Cobb and Ambassador Jack Matlock on 2 February 1984.	
1. On 2 February, NIO/WE Milton Kovner and A/NIO/WE met with NSC staff members responsible for European affairs. The purpose was to review the estimates program and explore ways in which NIO/WE could improve support to the NSC. The following summarizes the most significant aspects of this meeting.	25X1
2. Ambassador Matlock reviewed the priority concerns of his staff. Uppermost in his mind were the policy implications of a diminishing foreign policy consensus in West Germany. In particular, the longevity of the peace movement continue to be preoccupying the NSC staff, and they expressed interest in our plan One NSC staff member mentioned that the implications of generational change in Western Europe needed further analysis, since he could not determine how serious this would be for future US-European cooperation.	25X1 25X1 25X1
3. Other topics of interest to the NSC included future US-European economic disputes. Ambassador Matlock said that in many policy meetings dealing with trade issues, agencies with obvious interests (Commerce, Treasury, etc.) often took the lead in laying out the economic pros and cons for US-EC relations, but there was little analysis available on the political implications of various scenarios dealing with US-European economic disputes. While he understood the Intelligence Community's obvious reluctance to drive the policy process by suggesting alternative outcomes, he felt that some effort was needed to lay out plausible conditions (or US actions) that would aggravate or	
	25X1

SECRET

CL BY SIGNER DERV Multiple DECL OADR

	US control, while others are not. The Intelligence Community should analyze the implications of various actions vis-a-vis the Europeans, which are not necessarily under consideration by US policymakers but were within Washington's control.	25)
·		
•	5. We briefed Matlock and others on the April conference on Europe in the Eighties. They plan to attend, but acknowledged that their schedules often prevented participation in such meetings. They would	
	welcome brief reports on the findings of such conferences and the key issues that emerged. They encouraged us to consider future off-site, weekend seminars that would enable them to get away from their desks and engage in an extended dialogue on European developments.	05)
% .	welcome brief reports on the findings of such conferences and the key issues that emerged. They encouraged us to consider future off-site, weekend seminars that would enable them to get away from their desks and engage in an extended dialogue on European developments.	25>
Distrib	welcome brief reports on the findings of such conferences and the key issues that emerged. They encouraged us to consider future off-site, weekend seminars that would enable them to get away from their desks and engage in an extended dialogue on European developments.	25>
Distrib - - - - - -	welcome brief reports on the findings of such conferences and the key issues that emerged. They encouraged us to consider future off-site, weekend seminars that would enable them to get away from their desks and engage in an extended dialogue on European developments.	25>